

The Geometry of Monopoles: New and Old I

H.W. Braden

Varna, June 2011

Curve results with T.P. Northover.

Monopole Results in collaboration with V.Z. Enolski, A.D'Avanzo.

Overview

Equations $\xrightarrow{\quad}$ Spectral Curve $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{S}$



Reconstruction $\xleftarrow{\quad}$ Baker-Akhiezer Function

Overview

Equations $\xrightarrow{\text{Zero Curvature/Lax}}$ Spectral Curve $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{S}$



Reconstruction



Baker-Akhiezer Function

$$t\mathbf{U} + \mathbf{C} \in \text{Jac}(\mathcal{C})$$

Overview

Equations $\xrightarrow{\text{Zero Curvature/Lax}}$ Spectral Curve $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{S}$



Reconstruction \longleftarrow Baker-Akhiezer Function

$$t\mathbf{U} + \mathbf{C} \in \text{Jac}(\mathcal{C})$$

- ▶ BPS Monopoles
- ▶ Sigma Model reductions in AdS/CFT
- ▶ KP, KdV solitons
- ▶ Harmonic Maps
- ▶ SW Theory/Integrable Systems

Overview

Equations $\xrightarrow{\text{Zero Curvature/Lax}}$ Spectral Curve $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{S}$



Reconstruction



Baker-Akhiezer Function

$$t\mathbf{U} + \mathbf{C} \in \text{Jac}(\mathcal{C})$$

- ▶ BPS Monopoles
- ▶ Sigma Model reductions in AdS/CFT
- ▶ KP, KdV solitons
- ▶ Harmonic Maps
- ▶ SW Theory/Integrable Systems

$$\theta(t\mathbf{U} + \mathbf{C}|\tau)$$

BPS Monopoles

Equations

- ▶ Reduction of $F = *F$ (or static $V(\Phi) = 0$ with PS BC's)

$$L = -\frac{1}{2} \text{Tr } F_{ij} F^{ij} + \text{Tr } D_i \Phi D^i \Phi + V(\Phi)$$

- ▶ $B_i = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k=1}^3 \epsilon_{ijk} F^{jk} = D_i \Phi$

- ▶ A *monopole* of charge n

$$\sqrt{-\frac{1}{2} \text{Tr } \Phi(r)^2} \Big|_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sim 1 - \frac{n}{2r} + O(r^{-2}), \quad r = \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2}$$

- ▶ Monopoles \leftrightarrow Nahm Data \leftrightarrow Hitchin Data

BPS Monopoles

Nahm Data for charge n $SU(2)$ monopoles

Three $n \times n$ matrices $T_i(s)$ with $s \in [0, 2]$ satisfying the following:

N1 Nahm's equation
$$\frac{dT_i}{ds} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k=1}^3 \epsilon_{ijk} [T_j, T_k].$$

N2 $T_i(s)$ is regular for $s \in (0, 2)$ and has simple poles at $s = 0, 2$.
Residues form $su(2)$ irreducible n -dimensional representation.

N3 $T_i(s) = -T_i^\dagger(s), \quad T_i(s) = T_i^t(2-s).$

BPS Monopoles

Nahm Data for charge n $SU(2)$ monopoles

Three $n \times n$ matrices $T_i(s)$ with $s \in [0, 2]$ satisfying the following:

N1 Nahm's equation $\frac{dT_i}{ds} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k=1}^3 \epsilon_{ijk} [T_j, T_k].$

N2 $T_i(s)$ is regular for $s \in (0, 2)$ and has simple poles at $s = 0, 2$.
Residues form $su(2)$ irreducible n -dimensional representation.

N3 $T_i(s) = -T_i^\dagger(s), \quad T_i(s) = T_i^t(2-s).$

$$A(\zeta) = T_1 + iT_2 - 2iT_3\zeta + (T_1 - iT_2)\zeta^2$$

$$M(\zeta) = -iT_3 + (T_1 - iT_2)\zeta$$

Nahm's eqn. $\frac{dT_i}{ds} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k=1}^3 \epsilon_{ijk} [T_j, T_k] \iff [\frac{d}{ds} + M, A] = 0.$

BPS Monopoles

Reconstruction

- ▶ $B_i = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k=1}^3 \epsilon_{ijk} F^{jk} = D_i \Phi$
- ▶ Solve Weyl equation (charge n $SU(2)$ monopoles) $\mathbf{V}_{2n \times 2n}$

$$\Delta^\dagger \mathbf{V} = \left(1_{2n} \frac{d}{ds} + i \sum_{j=1}^3 T_j(s) \otimes \sigma_j - \sum_{j=1}^3 x_j 1_n \otimes \sigma_j \right) \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{x}, s) = 0$$

- ▶ Reconstruction $\mathbf{V}\mu = (\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2)$, $\int_0^2 \mathbf{v}_a^\dagger(\mathbf{x}, s) \mathbf{v}_b(\mathbf{x}, s) ds = \delta_{ab}$

$$\Phi(\mathbf{x})_{ab} = i \int_0^2 s \mathbf{v}_a^\dagger(\mathbf{x}, s) \mathbf{v}_b(\mathbf{x}, s) ds, \quad a, b = 1, 2$$

$$A_j(\mathbf{x})_{ab} = i \int_0^2 \mathbf{v}_a^\dagger(\mathbf{x}, s) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \mathbf{v}_b(\mathbf{x}, s) ds, \quad i = 1, 2, 3$$

BPS Monopoles

Spectral Curve

► $[\frac{d}{ds} + M(\zeta), A(\zeta)] = 0, \quad \mathcal{C} : 0 = \det(\eta 1_n + A(\zeta)) := P(\eta, \zeta)$

$$P(\eta, \zeta) = \eta^n + a_1(\zeta)\eta^{n-1} + \dots + a_n(\zeta), \quad \deg a_r(\zeta) \leq 2r$$

BPS Monopoles

Spectral Curve

► $[\frac{d}{ds} + M(\zeta), A(\zeta)] = 0, \quad \mathcal{C} : 0 = \det(\eta 1_n + A(\zeta)) := P(\eta, \zeta)$

$$P(\eta, \zeta) = \eta^n + a_1(\zeta)\eta^{n-1} + \dots + a_n(\zeta), \quad \deg a_r(\zeta) \leq 2r$$

► Homology basis $\{\gamma_i\}_{i=1}^{2g} = \{\mathfrak{a}_i, \mathfrak{b}_i\}_{i=1}^g$ for $H_1(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{Z})$

$$\mathfrak{a}_i \cap \mathfrak{b}_j = -\mathfrak{b}_j \cap \mathfrak{a}_i = \delta_{ij}$$

BPS Monopoles

Spectral Curve

► $[\frac{d}{ds} + M(\zeta), A(\zeta)] = 0, \quad \mathcal{C} : 0 = \det(\eta 1_n + A(\zeta)) := P(\eta, \zeta)$

$$P(\eta, \zeta) = \eta^n + a_1(\zeta)\eta^{n-1} + \dots + a_n(\zeta), \quad \deg a_r(\zeta) \leq 2r$$

► Homology basis $\{\gamma_i\}_{i=1}^{2g} = \{\mathfrak{a}_i, \mathfrak{b}_i\}_{i=1}^g$ for $H_1(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{Z})$

$$\mathfrak{a}_i \cap \mathfrak{b}_j = -\mathfrak{b}_j \cap \mathfrak{a}_i = \delta_{ij}$$

► Holomorphic differentials du_i ($i = 1, \dots, g$)

BPS Monopoles

Spectral Curve

$$\blacktriangleright \left[\frac{d}{ds} + M(\zeta), A(\zeta) \right] = 0, \quad \mathcal{C} : 0 = \det(\eta \mathbf{1}_n + A(\zeta)) := P(\eta, \zeta)$$

$$P(\eta, \zeta) = \eta^n + a_1(\zeta)\eta^{n-1} + \dots + a_n(\zeta), \quad \deg a_r(\zeta) \leq 2r$$

$$\blacktriangleright \text{Homology basis } \{\gamma_i\}_{i=1}^{2g} = \{\mathfrak{a}_i, \mathfrak{b}_i\}_{i=1}^g \text{ for } H_1(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{Z})$$

$$\mathfrak{a}_i \cap \mathfrak{b}_j = -\mathfrak{b}_j \cap \mathfrak{a}_i = \delta_{ij}$$

- ▶ Holomorphic differentials du_i ($i = 1, \dots, g$)
- ▶ Period Matrix $\tau = \mathcal{B}\mathcal{A}^{-1}$ where

$$\Pi := \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{A} \\ \mathcal{B} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \oint_{\mathfrak{a}_i} du_j \\ \oint_{\mathfrak{b}_i} du_j \end{pmatrix}$$

BPS Monopoles

Spectral Curve

$$\blacktriangleright \left[\frac{d}{ds} + M(\zeta), A(\zeta) \right] = 0, \quad \mathcal{C} : 0 = \det(\eta \mathbf{1}_n + A(\zeta)) := P(\eta, \zeta)$$

$$P(\eta, \zeta) = \eta^n + a_1(\zeta)\eta^{n-1} + \dots + a_n(\zeta), \quad \deg a_r(\zeta) \leq 2r$$

$$\blacktriangleright \text{Homology basis } \{\gamma_i\}_{i=1}^{2g} = \{\mathfrak{a}_i, \mathfrak{b}_i\}_{i=1}^g \text{ for } H_1(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{Z})$$

$$\mathfrak{a}_i \cap \mathfrak{b}_j = -\mathfrak{b}_j \cap \mathfrak{a}_i = \delta_{ij}$$

- \blacktriangleright Holomorphic differentials du_i ($i = 1, \dots, g$)
- \blacktriangleright Period Matrix $\tau = \mathcal{B}\mathcal{A}^{-1}$ where

$$\Pi := \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{A} \\ \mathcal{B} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \oint_{\mathfrak{a}_i} du_j \\ \oint_{\mathfrak{b}_i} du_j \end{pmatrix}$$

- \blacktriangleright normalized holomorphic differentials ω_i , $\oint_{\mathfrak{a}_i} \omega_j = \delta_{ij}$ $\oint_{\mathfrak{b}_i} \omega_j = \tau_{ij}$

BPS Monopoles

Spectral Curve

► $[\frac{d}{ds} + M(\zeta), A(\zeta)] = 0, \quad \mathcal{C} : 0 = \det(\eta 1_n + A(\zeta)) := P(\eta, \zeta)$

$$P(\eta, \zeta) = \eta^n + a_1(\zeta)\eta^{n-1} + \dots + a_n(\zeta), \quad \deg a_r(\zeta) \leq 2r$$

► Homology basis $\{\gamma_i\}_{i=1}^{2g} = \{\mathfrak{a}_i, \mathfrak{b}_i\}_{i=1}^g$ for $H_1(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{Z})$

$$\mathfrak{a}_i \cap \mathfrak{b}_j = -\mathfrak{b}_j \cap \mathfrak{a}_i = \delta_{ij}$$

► Holomorphic differentials du_i ($i = 1, \dots, g$)

► Period Matrix $\tau = \mathcal{B}\mathcal{A}^{-1}$ where

$$\Pi := \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{A} \\ \mathcal{B} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \oint_{\mathfrak{a}_i} du_j \\ \oint_{\mathfrak{b}_i} du_j \end{pmatrix}$$

► normalized holomorphic differentials ω_i , $\oint_{\mathfrak{a}_i} \omega_j = \delta_{ij}$ $\oint_{\mathfrak{b}_i} \omega_j = \tau_{ij}$

► genus given by Riemann Hurwitz formula $g_{\text{monopole}} = (n-1)^2$

Baker-Akhiezer Function

Existence: Krichever's Theorem (1977)

Let \mathcal{C} be a smooth algebraic curve of genus $g_{\mathcal{C}}$ with $n \geq 1$ punctures P_j , $j = 1, \dots, n$. Then for each set of $g_{\mathcal{C}} + n - 1$ points $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_{g_{\mathcal{C}}+n-1}$ in general position, there exists a unique function $\Psi_j(t, P)$ and local coordinates $w_j(P)$ for which $w_j(P_j) = 0$, such that

1. The function Ψ_j of $P \in \mathcal{C}$ is meromorphic outside the punctures and has at most simple poles at δ_r (if all of them are distinct);
2. In the neighbourhood of the puncture P_l the function Ψ_j has the form (for $i \in \mathbb{N}^+$, $w_l = w_l(P)$)

$$\Psi_j(s, P) = e^{s w_l - i} \left(\delta_{jl} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{jl}^k(s) w_l^k \right)$$

Meromorphic differential describe flows

$$w_j(P_j) = 0 \quad d\Omega^{(i)} = d \left(w_j^{-i} + 0(w_j) \right) \quad \oint_{\alpha_k} d\Omega^{(i)} = 0$$

Reconstruction

- ▶ Reconstruct $A(\zeta)$ in terms of its joint eigenfunctions

$$(\eta \mathbf{1}_n + A(\zeta)) \hat{w} = 0$$

$$\left(\frac{d}{ds} + M(\zeta) \right) \hat{w} = 0$$

Reconstruction

- ▶ Reconstruct $A(\zeta)$ in terms of its joint eigenfunctions

$$(\eta \mathbf{1}_n + A(\zeta)) \hat{w} = 0$$

$$\left(\frac{d}{ds} + M(\zeta) \right) \hat{w} = 0$$

- ▶ Solve \hat{w} in terms of Baker-Akhiezer function.

Reconstruction

- ▶ Reconstruct $A(\zeta)$ in terms of its joint eigenfunctions

$$(\eta \mathbf{1}_n + A(\zeta)) \hat{w} = 0$$

$$\left(\frac{d}{ds} + M(\zeta) \right) \hat{w} = 0$$

- ▶ Solve \hat{w} in terms of Baker-Akhiezer function.
- ▶ $M(\zeta) = -iT_3 + (T_1 - iT_2)\zeta$ poles at $\zeta = \infty$

$$\frac{P(\eta, \zeta)}{\zeta^{2n}} \sim \prod_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{\eta}{\zeta^2} - \rho_j \right) \quad \frac{\eta}{\zeta} = \rho_j \zeta, \quad \zeta \sim \infty_j \text{ n points on } \mathcal{C}$$

$$d \left(\frac{\eta}{\zeta} \right) = \left(-\frac{\rho_j}{t^2} + O(1) \right) dt \quad \zeta = \frac{1}{t} \sim \infty_j$$

Reconstruction

- ▶ Reconstruct $A(\zeta)$ in terms of its joint eigenfunctions

$$(\eta \mathbf{1}_n + A(\zeta)) \hat{w} = 0$$

$$\left(\frac{d}{ds} + M(\zeta) \right) \hat{w} = 0$$

- ▶ Solve \hat{w} in terms of Baker-Akhiezer function.
- ▶ $M(\zeta) = -iT_3 + (T_1 - iT_2)\zeta$ poles at $\zeta = \infty$

$$\frac{P(\eta, \zeta)}{\zeta^{2n}} \sim \prod_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{\eta}{\zeta^2} - \rho_j \right) \quad \frac{\eta}{\zeta} = \rho_j \zeta, \quad \zeta \sim \infty_j \text{ **n points on } \mathcal{C}**$$

$$d \left(\frac{\eta}{\zeta} \right) = \left(-\frac{\rho_j}{t^2} + O(1) \right) dt \quad \zeta = \frac{1}{t} \sim \infty_j$$

- ▶ ∃! meromorphic differential $\gamma_\infty \equiv \gamma_\infty(P) = \left(\frac{\rho_j}{t^2} + O(1) \right) dt$,

$$\text{as } P \rightarrow \infty_j, \oint_{\mathfrak{a}_k} \gamma_\infty(P) = 0, \quad \mathbf{U} = \frac{1}{2i\pi} \oint_{\mathfrak{b}} \gamma_\infty$$

Reconstruction

- ▶ Ercolani and Sinha (1979) solve gauge transform of
$$\left(\frac{d}{ds} + M(\zeta) \right) \hat{w} = 0$$
in terms of Baker-Akhiezer function.

Reconstruction

- ▶ Ercolani and Sinha (1979) solve gauge transform of $\left(\frac{d}{ds} + M(\zeta)\right) \hat{w} = 0$ in terms of Baker-Akhiezer function.
- ▶ BE (2007) $\mathbf{y} = \left(\frac{1+\zeta^2}{2\imath}, \frac{1-\zeta^2}{2}, -\zeta\right)$ $\hat{\mathbf{u}} = \hat{\mathbf{u}}(\zeta) := \imath \frac{\mathbf{y} \times \bar{\mathbf{y}}}{\mathbf{y} \cdot \bar{\mathbf{y}}}$

Reconstruction

- ▶ Ercolani and Sinha (1979) solve gauge transform of
 $\left(\frac{d}{ds} + M(\zeta)\right) \hat{w} = 0$ in terms of Baker-Akhiezer function.
- ▶ BE (2007) $\mathbf{y} = \left(\frac{1+\zeta^2}{2\imath}, \frac{1-\zeta^2}{2}, -\zeta\right)$ $\hat{\mathbf{u}} = \hat{\mathbf{u}}(\zeta) := \imath \frac{\mathbf{y} \times \bar{\mathbf{y}}}{\mathbf{y} \cdot \bar{\mathbf{y}}}$
- ▶ $\mathbf{w}(P) = (1_2 + \hat{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}) e^{-\imath s[(x_1 - \imath x_2)\zeta - \imath x_3]} |\chi > \otimes \hat{\mathbf{w}}(P)$
Then $\Delta \mathbf{w} = 0$ with $\eta = 2\mathbf{y} \cdot \mathbf{x}$.

Reconstruction

- ▶ Ercolani and Sinha (1979) solve gauge transform of

$$\left(\frac{d}{ds} + M(\zeta) \right) \hat{w} = 0 \text{ in terms of Baker-Akhiezer function.}$$

- ▶ BE (2007) $\mathbf{y} = \left(\frac{1 + \zeta^2}{2\imath}, \frac{1 - \zeta^2}{2}, -\zeta \right)$ $\hat{\mathbf{u}} = \hat{\mathbf{u}}(\zeta) := \imath \frac{\mathbf{y} \times \bar{\mathbf{y}}}{\mathbf{y} \cdot \bar{\mathbf{y}}}$

- ▶ $\mathbf{w}(P) = (1_2 + \hat{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}) e^{-\imath s[(x_1 - \imath x_2)\zeta - \imath x_3]} |\chi > \otimes \hat{\mathbf{w}}(P)$

Then $\Delta \mathbf{w} = 0$ with $\eta = 2\mathbf{y} \cdot \mathbf{x}$.

- ▶
 - Given \mathbf{x} solve $\det(2\mathbf{y} \cdot \mathbf{x} + A(\zeta)) = 0$ of degree $2n$ in ζ
 - Let P_i be the corresponding $2n$ points on \mathcal{C} .
 - Construct the $2n \times 2n$ matrix $\mathbf{W} = (\mathbf{w}(P_i))$
 - $\mathbf{V} = (\mathbf{W}^\dagger)^{-1}$, $\Delta^\dagger \mathbf{V} = 0$ **Problem: extract norm. solns.**

Reconstruction

- ▶ Ercolani and Sinha (1979) solve gauge transform of

$$\left(\frac{d}{ds} + M(\zeta) \right) \hat{w} = 0 \text{ in terms of Baker-Akhiezer function.}$$

- ▶ BE (2007) $\mathbf{y} = \left(\frac{1 + \zeta^2}{2\imath}, \frac{1 - \zeta^2}{2}, -\zeta \right)$ $\hat{\mathbf{u}} = \hat{\mathbf{u}}(\zeta) := \imath \frac{\mathbf{y} \times \bar{\mathbf{y}}}{\mathbf{y} \cdot \bar{\mathbf{y}}}$

- ▶ $\mathbf{w}(P) = (1_2 + \hat{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}) e^{-\imath s[(x_1 - \imath x_2)\zeta - \imath x_3]} |\chi > \otimes \hat{\mathbf{w}}(P)$

Then $\Delta \mathbf{w} = 0$ with $\eta = 2\mathbf{y} \cdot \mathbf{x}$.

- ▶
 - Given \mathbf{x} solve $\det(2\mathbf{y} \cdot \mathbf{x} + A(\zeta)) = 0$ of degree $2n$ in ζ
 - Let P_i be the corresponding $2n$ points on \mathcal{C} .
 - Construct the $2n \times 2n$ matrix $\mathbf{W} = (\mathbf{w}(P_i))$
 - $\mathbf{V} = (\mathbf{W}^\dagger)^{-1}$, $\Delta^\dagger \mathbf{V} = 0$ **Problem: extract norm. solns.**
- ▶ Panagopoulos (1983): Integrals computed in closed form

$$\int \mathbf{v}_a^\dagger(\mathbf{x}, s) \mathbf{v}_b(\mathbf{x}, s) ds = \mathbf{v}_a^\dagger(\mathbf{x}, s) \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathbf{x}, s) \mathbf{v}_b(\mathbf{x}, s)$$

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{x}, s) = \frac{1}{r^2} \mathcal{H} \mathcal{T} \mathcal{H} - \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T} = \sum_{i=1}^3 \sigma_i \otimes T_i(s), \mathcal{H} = \sum_{i=1}^3 x_i \sigma_i \otimes 1_n.$$

Reconstruction

Generalized Abel Maps

- ▶ **Abel Map** $\phi_*(P) : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^g$, $\phi_*(P) = \left(\int_*^P \omega_1, \dots, \int_*^P \omega_g \right)$
 $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{C}^g :$ $\mathbb{Z}^g \oplus \mathbb{Z}^g \tau$ $\mathbb{C}^g / \Lambda \equiv \text{Jac}(\mathcal{C})$

Reconstruction

Generalized Abel Maps

- ▶ **Abel Map** $\phi_*(P) : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^g$, $\phi_*(P) = \left(\int_*^P \omega_1, \dots, \int_*^P \omega_g \right)$
 $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{C}^g : \quad \mathbb{Z}^g \oplus \mathbb{Z}^g \tau \quad \mathbb{C}^g / \Lambda \equiv \text{Jac}(\mathcal{C})$
- ▶ Abel $\int_{P_0}^{P_1} \omega + \dots + \int_{P_0}^{P_g} \omega = \mathbf{z} \quad \phi_{P_0} \left(\sum_{i=1}^g P_i \right) = \mathbf{z}$

Reconstruction

Generalized Abel Maps

- ▶ **Abel Map** $\phi_*(P) : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^g$, $\phi_*(P) = \left(\int_*^P \omega_1, \dots, \int_*^P \omega_g \right)$

$$\Lambda \subset \mathbb{C}^g : \quad \mathbb{Z}^g \oplus \mathbb{Z}^g \tau \quad \mathbb{C}^g / \Lambda \equiv \text{Jac}(\mathcal{C})$$

- ▶ Abel $\int_{P_0}^{P_1} \omega + \dots + \int_{P_0}^{P_g} \omega = \mathbf{z}$ $\phi_{P_0} \left(\sum_{i=1}^g P_i \right) = \mathbf{z}$

- ▶ Clebsch and Gordan (1886). Let Ω_{P_+, P_-} meromorphic differentials of the third kind with simple poles at P_\pm and having residues ± 1 . Suppose $X_1, Y_1, \dots, X_s, Y_s$ are distinct pairs of points on \mathcal{C} . For $i = 1, \dots, s$ we may solve

$$\int_{P_0}^{P_1} \omega + \dots + \int_{P_0}^{P_{g+s}} \omega = \mathbf{z}, \quad \int_{P_0}^{P_1} \Omega_{X_i, Y_i} + \dots + \int_{P_0}^{P_{g+s}} \Omega_{X_i, Y_i} = Z_i$$

- ▶ Braden and Fedorov

$$\int_{P_0}^{P_1} \omega + \dots + \int_{P_0}^{P_{g+n-1}} \omega = \mathbf{z}, \quad \int_{P_0}^{P_1} \Omega_{j1} + \dots + \int_{P_0}^{P_{g+n-1}} \Omega_{j1} = Z_j$$

Reconstruction

Theta Functions

$$\blacktriangleright \theta(\mathbf{z}; \tau) = \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^g} \exp(i\pi \mathbf{n}^T \tau \mathbf{n} + 2i\pi \mathbf{z}^T \mathbf{n}), \quad \text{Im } \tau > 0$$

Reconstruction

Theta Functions

- ▶ $\theta(\mathbf{z}; \tau) = \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^g} \exp(i\pi \mathbf{n}^T \tau \mathbf{n} + 2i\pi \mathbf{z}^T \mathbf{n}), \quad \text{Im } \tau > 0$
- ▶ $\theta(\mathbf{z} + \mathbf{p}; \tau) = \theta(\mathbf{z}; \tau)$
 $\theta(\mathbf{z} + \mathbf{p}\tau; \tau) = \exp\{-i\pi(\mathbf{p}^T \tau \mathbf{p} + 2\mathbf{z}^T \mathbf{p})\} \theta(\mathbf{z}; \tau), \quad \mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{Z}^g$

Reconstruction

Theta Functions

- ▶ $\theta(\mathbf{z}; \tau) = \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^g} \exp(i\pi \mathbf{n}^T \tau \mathbf{n} + 2i\pi \mathbf{z}^T \mathbf{n}), \quad \text{Im } \tau > 0$
- ▶ $\theta(\mathbf{z} + \mathbf{p}; \tau) = \theta(\mathbf{z}; \tau)$
 $\theta(\mathbf{z} + \mathbf{p}\tau; \tau) = \exp\{-i\pi(\mathbf{p}^T \tau \mathbf{p} + 2\mathbf{z}^T \mathbf{p})\} \theta(\mathbf{z}; \tau), \quad \mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{Z}^g$
- ▶ $\theta(e | \tau) = 0 \iff e \in \Theta \subset \text{Jac}(\mathcal{C})$
- ▶ **Riemann's Theorem** $e \equiv \phi_Q \left(\sum_{i=1}^{g-1} P_i \right) + K_Q \quad \phi(\mathcal{C}^{g-1}) + K_Q = \Theta$

Reconstruction

Theta Functions

- ▶ $\theta(\mathbf{z}; \tau) = \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^g} \exp(i\pi \mathbf{n}^T \tau \mathbf{n} + 2i\pi \mathbf{z}^T \mathbf{n}), \quad \text{Im } \tau > 0$
- ▶ $\theta(\mathbf{z} + \mathbf{p}; \tau) = \theta(\mathbf{z}; \tau)$
 $\theta(\mathbf{z} + \mathbf{p}\tau; \tau) = \exp\{-i\pi(\mathbf{p}^T \tau \mathbf{p} + 2\mathbf{z}^T \mathbf{p})\} \theta(\mathbf{z}; \tau), \quad \mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{Z}^g$
- ▶ $\theta(e | \tau) = 0 \iff e \in \Theta \subset \text{Jac}(\mathcal{C})$
- ▶ **Riemann's Theorem** $e \equiv \phi_Q \left(\sum_{i=1}^{g-1} P_i \right) + K_Q \quad \phi(\mathcal{C}^{g-1}) + K_Q = \Theta$

$$\text{mult}_e \theta = i \left(\sum_{i=1}^{g-1} P_i \right) = \dim H^1(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{L}_{\sum_{i=1}^{g-1} P_i}) = \dim H^0(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{L}_{\sum_{i=1}^{g-1} P_i})$$

Reconstruction

Theta Functions

- ▶ $\theta(\mathbf{z}; \tau) = \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^g} \exp(i\pi \mathbf{n}^T \tau \mathbf{n} + 2i\pi \mathbf{z}^T \mathbf{n}), \quad \text{Im } \tau > 0$
- ▶ $\theta(\mathbf{z} + \mathbf{p}; \tau) = \theta(\mathbf{z}; \tau)$
 $\theta(\mathbf{z} + \mathbf{p}\tau; \tau) = \exp\{-i\pi(\mathbf{p}^T \tau \mathbf{p} + 2\mathbf{z}^T \mathbf{p})\} \theta(\mathbf{z}; \tau), \quad \mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{Z}^g$
- ▶ $\theta(e | \tau) = 0 \iff e \in \Theta \subset \text{Jac}(\mathcal{C})$
- ▶ **Riemann's Theorem** $e \equiv \phi_Q \left(\sum_{i=1}^{g-1} P_i \right) + K_Q \quad \phi(\mathcal{C}^{g-1}) + K_Q = \Theta$

$$\text{mult}_e \theta = i \left(\sum_{i=1}^{g-1} P_i \right) = \dim H^1(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{L}_{\sum_{i=1}^{g-1} P_i}) = \dim H^0(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{L}_{\sum_{i=1}^{g-1} P_i})$$

- ▶ There are very efficient evaluations of θ

Reconstruction

Theta Functions

- ▶ $\theta(\mathbf{z}; \tau) = \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^g} \exp(i\pi \mathbf{n}^T \tau \mathbf{n} + 2i\pi \mathbf{z}^T \mathbf{n}), \quad \text{Im } \tau > 0$
- ▶ $\theta(\mathbf{z} + \mathbf{p}; \tau) = \theta(\mathbf{z}; \tau)$
 $\theta(\mathbf{z} + \mathbf{p}\tau; \tau) = \exp\{-i\pi(\mathbf{p}^T \tau \mathbf{p} + 2\mathbf{z}^T \mathbf{p})\} \theta(\mathbf{z}; \tau), \quad \mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{Z}^g$
- ▶ $\theta(e | \tau) = 0 \iff e \in \Theta \subset \text{Jac}(\mathcal{C})$
- ▶ **Riemann's Theorem** $e \equiv \phi_Q \left(\sum_{i=1}^{g-1} P_i \right) + K_Q \quad \phi(\mathcal{C}^{g-1}) + K_Q = \Theta$

$$\text{mult}_e \theta = i \left(\sum_{i=1}^{g-1} P_i \right) = \dim H^1(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{L}_{\sum_{i=1}^{g-1} P_i}) = \dim H^0(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{L}_{\sum_{i=1}^{g-1} P_i})$$

- ▶ There are very efficient evaluations of θ
- ▶ Functions $\sum_{i=1}^N \phi(R_i) = \sum_{i=1}^N \phi(S_i) + n + m \cdot \tau$

$$f(P) = e^{2\pi i \int_{P_0}^P m \cdot \omega} \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{\theta(\phi(P) - \phi(R_i) - K; \tau)}{\theta(\phi(P) - \phi(S_i) - K; \tau)}$$

Reconstruction

Divisors, Line bundles, Θ

- ▶ Line bundles \longleftrightarrow Divisors $\delta = \sum_i n_i P_i$, $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $P_i \in \mathcal{C}$.
 - ▶ effective $n_i \geq 0 \forall i$. δ effective $\iff \dim H^0(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{O}(L_\delta)) \geq 1$.
 - ▶ nonspecial $\iff \dim H^1(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{O}(L_\delta)) = 0$

Reconstruction

Divisors, Line bundles, Θ

- ▶ Line bundles \longleftrightarrow Divisors $\delta = \sum_i n_i P_i$, $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $P_i \in \mathcal{C}$.
 - ▶ effective $n_i \geq 0 \forall i$. δ effective $\iff \dim H^0(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{O}(L_\delta)) \geq 1$.
 - ▶ nonspecial $\iff \dim H^1(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{O}(L_\delta)) = 0$
- ▶ Riemann-Roch
$$\dim H^0(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{O}(L_\delta)) = \deg L_\delta + 1 - g_{\mathcal{C}} + \dim H^1(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{O}(L_\delta))$$

Reconstruction

Divisors, Line bundles, Θ

- ▶ Line bundles \longleftrightarrow Divisors $\delta = \sum_i n_i P_i$, $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $P_i \in \mathcal{C}$.
 - ▶ effective $n_i \geq 0 \forall i$. δ effective $\iff \dim H^0(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{O}(L_\delta)) \geq 1$.
 - ▶ nonspecial $\iff \dim H^1(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{O}(L_\delta)) = 0$
- ▶ Riemann-Roch
$$\dim H^0(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{O}(L_\delta)) = \deg L_\delta + 1 - g_C + \dim H^1(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{O}(L_\delta))$$
- ▶ Example: $\deg L_\delta = g_C - 1$
$$\dim H^0(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{O}(L_\delta)) = \dim H^1(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{O}(L_\delta)) \geq 1 \iff \delta \text{ effective}$$

$$\iff \delta \in \Theta$$

$$\text{multiplicity}_L \theta = \dim H^0(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{O}(L))$$

Reconstruction

Divisors, Line bundles, Θ

- ▶ Line bundles \longleftrightarrow Divisors $\delta = \sum_i n_i P_i$, $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $P_i \in \mathcal{C}$.
 - ▶ effective $n_i \geq 0 \forall i$. δ effective $\iff \dim H^0(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{O}(L_\delta)) \geq 1$.
 - ▶ nonspecial $\iff \dim H^1(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{O}(L_\delta)) = 0$
- ▶ Riemann-Roch
$$\dim H^0(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{O}(L_\delta)) = \deg L_\delta + 1 - g_C + \dim H^1(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{O}(L_\delta))$$
- ▶ Example: $\deg L_\delta = g_C - 1$
$$\dim H^0(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{O}(L_\delta)) = \dim H^1(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{O}(L_\delta)) \geq 1 \iff \delta \text{ effective}$$
$$\iff \delta \in \Theta$$

$$\text{multiplicity}_L \theta = \dim H^0(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{O}(L))$$

- ▶ in general position $\iff \sum_{s=1}^{g_C+n-1} \phi(\delta_s) - \sum_{l=1}^n \phi(P_l)$ noneffective
- $\iff \sum_{s=1}^{g_C+n-1} \phi(\delta_s) - \sum_{l=1}^n \phi(P_l) + K_Q \notin \Theta$

Reconstruction

Functions in terms of theta functions

- ▶ Baker-Akhiezer function

$$\Psi_j(s, P) = g_j(P) \frac{\theta(\phi(P) + s\mathbf{U} - \zeta_j)}{\theta(\phi(P) - \zeta_j)} e^{s \int_{P_0}^P \gamma_\infty} \times \frac{\theta(\phi(P_j) - \zeta_j)}{\theta(\phi(P_j) + s\mathbf{U} - \zeta_j)}$$

$$\mathbf{U} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\mathfrak{b}} \gamma_\infty, \quad g_j(P_k) = \delta_{jk}$$

$$\zeta_j = \sum_{s=1}^{g_c+n-1} \phi(\delta_s) - \sum_{\substack{l=1 \\ l \neq j}}^n \phi(P_l) + K$$

Reconstruction

Functions in terms of theta functions

- ▶ Baker-Akhiezer function

$$\Psi_j(s, P) = g_j(P) \frac{\theta(\phi(P) + s\mathbf{U} - \zeta_j)}{\theta(\phi(P) - \zeta_j)} e^{s \int_{P_0}^P \gamma_\infty} \times \frac{\theta(\phi(P_j) - \zeta_j)}{\theta(\phi(P_j) + s\mathbf{U} - \zeta_j)}$$

$$\mathbf{U} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\mathfrak{b}} \gamma_\infty, \quad g_j(P_k) = \delta_{jk}$$

$$\zeta_j = \sum_{s=1}^{g_c+n-1} \phi(\delta_s) - \sum_{\substack{l=1 \\ l \neq j}}^n \phi(P_l) + K$$

- ▶ in general position: 1) $\theta(\phi(P_j) - \zeta_j) \neq 0$, 2) $\exists! g_j(P)$

Reconstruction

Functions in terms of theta functions

- ▶ Baker-Akhiezer function

$$\Psi_j(s, P) = g_j(P) \frac{\theta(\phi(P) + s\mathbf{U} - \zeta_j)}{\theta(\phi(P) - \zeta_j)} e^{s \int_{P_0}^P \gamma_\infty} \times \frac{\theta(\phi(P_j) - \zeta_j)}{\theta(\phi(P_j) + s\mathbf{U} - \zeta_j)}$$

$$\mathbf{U} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\mathfrak{b}} \gamma_\infty, \quad g_j(P_k) = \delta_{jk}$$

$$\zeta_j = \sum_{s=1}^{g_c+n-1} \phi(\delta_s) - \sum_{\substack{l=1 \\ l \neq j}}^n \phi(P_l) + K$$

- ▶ in general position: 1) $\theta(\phi(P_j) - \zeta_j) \neq 0$, 2) $\exists! g_j(P)$
- ▶ Danger $\phi(P_j) + s\mathbf{U} - \zeta_j \in \Theta$

BPS Monopoles

Hitchin data

H1 $\mathcal{C} \subset T\mathbb{P}^1$ Reality conditions $a_r(\zeta) = (-1)^r \zeta^{2r} \overline{a_r(-\frac{1}{\bar{\zeta}})}$

H2 $\mathcal{L}^\lambda(m)$ the holomorphic line bundle on $T\mathbb{P}^1$ with transition function $g_{01} = \zeta^m \exp(-\lambda\eta/\zeta)$.

$$\mathcal{L}^\lambda := \mathcal{L}^\lambda(0), \quad \mathcal{L}^\lambda(m) \equiv \mathcal{L}^\lambda \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{O}(m)$$

\mathcal{L}^2 is trivial on \mathcal{C} and $\mathcal{L}^1(n-1)$ is real.

BPS Monopoles

Hitchin data

H1 $\mathcal{C} \subset T\mathbb{P}^1$ Reality conditions $a_r(\zeta) = (-1)^r \zeta^{2r} \overline{a_r(-\frac{1}{\bar{\zeta}})}$

H2 $\mathcal{L}^\lambda(m)$ the holomorphic line bundle on $T\mathbb{P}^1$ with transition function $g_{01} = \zeta^m \exp(-\lambda\eta/\zeta)$.

$$\mathcal{L}^\lambda := \mathcal{L}^\lambda(0), \quad \mathcal{L}^\lambda(m) \equiv \mathcal{L}^\lambda \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{O}(m)$$

\mathcal{L}^2 is trivial on \mathcal{C} and $\mathcal{L}^1(n-1)$ is real.

\mathcal{L}^2 is trivial $\implies \exists$ nowhere-vanishing holomorphic section.

BPS Monopoles

Hitchin data

H1 $\mathcal{C} \subset T\mathbb{P}^1$ Reality conditions $a_r(\zeta) = (-1)^r \zeta^{2r} \overline{a_r(-\frac{1}{\bar{\zeta}})}$

H2 $\mathcal{L}^\lambda(m)$ the holomorphic line bundle on $T\mathbb{P}^1$ with transition function $g_{01} = \zeta^m \exp(-\lambda\eta/\zeta)$.

$$\mathcal{L}^\lambda := \mathcal{L}^\lambda(0), \quad \mathcal{L}^\lambda(m) \equiv \mathcal{L}^\lambda \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{O}(m)$$

\mathcal{L}^2 is trivial on \mathcal{C} and $\mathcal{L}^1(n-1)$ is real.

\mathcal{L}^2 is trivial $\Rightarrow \exists$ nowhere-vanishing holomorphic section.

H3 $H^0(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{L}^s(n-2)) = 0$ for $s \in (0, 2) \iff \theta(s\mathbf{U} + \mathbf{C} | \tau) \neq 0$

$$\mathbf{C} = K_Q + \phi_Q \left((n-2) \sum_{k=1}^n \infty_k \right)$$

BPS Monopoles

Hitchin data

H1 $\mathcal{C} \subset T\mathbb{P}^1$ Reality conditions $a_r(\zeta) = (-1)^r \zeta^{2r} \overline{a_r(-\frac{1}{\bar{\zeta}})}$

H2 $\mathcal{L}^\lambda(m)$ the holomorphic line bundle on $T\mathbb{P}^1$ with transition function $g_{01} = \zeta^m \exp(-\lambda\eta/\zeta)$.

$$\mathcal{L}^\lambda := \mathcal{L}^\lambda(0), \quad \mathcal{L}^\lambda(m) \equiv \mathcal{L}^\lambda \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{O}(m)$$

\mathcal{L}^2 is trivial on \mathcal{C} and $\mathcal{L}^1(n-1)$ is real.

\mathcal{L}^2 is trivial $\Rightarrow \exists$ nowhere-vanishing holomorphic section.

H3 $H^0(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{L}^s(n-2)) = 0$ for $s \in (0, 2) \iff \theta(s\mathbf{U} + \mathbf{C} | \tau) \neq 0$

$$\mathbf{C} = K_Q + \phi_Q \left((n-2) \sum_{k=1}^n \infty_k \right)$$

$H^0(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{L}^s(n-2))) = 0 \Rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{L}^s)) = 0, s \in (0, 2).$

$\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{L}^s) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{L}^s(n-2)) \times \text{a section of } \pi^*\mathcal{O}(n-2)|_{\mathcal{C}}$

The Ercolani-Sinha Constraints

► \mathcal{L}^2 trivial $\implies f_0(\eta, \zeta) = \exp\left\{-2\frac{\eta}{\zeta}\right\} f_1(\eta, \zeta)$

$$\mathrm{dlog} f_0 = \mathrm{d}\left(-2\frac{\eta}{\zeta}\right) + \mathrm{dlog} f_1, \quad \exp \oint_{\gamma} \mathrm{dlog} f_0 = 1 \quad \forall \gamma \in H_1(\mathbb{Z}, \mathcal{C})$$

The Ercolani-Sinha Constraints

- \mathcal{L}^2 trivial $\implies f_0(\eta, \zeta) = \exp\left\{-2\frac{\eta}{\zeta}\right\} f_1(\eta, \zeta)$
 $d\log f_0 = d\left(-2\frac{\eta}{\zeta}\right) + d\log f_1, \quad \exp \oint d\log f_0 = 1 \quad \forall \gamma \in H_1(\mathbb{Z}, \mathcal{C})$
- $\gamma_\infty(P) = \frac{1}{2} d\log f_0(P) + i\pi \sum_{j=1}^g m_j \omega_j(P), \quad \oint_{\mathfrak{a}_k} \omega_j = \delta_{jk}$
 $\oint_{\mathfrak{b}_k} \gamma_\infty = i\pi n_k + i\pi \sum_{l=1}^g m_l \tau_{lk}, \quad 2\mathbf{U} \in \Lambda$

The Ercolani-Sinha Constraints

- ▶ \mathcal{L}^2 trivial $\implies f_0(\eta, \zeta) = \exp\left\{-2\frac{\eta}{\zeta}\right\} f_1(\eta, \zeta)$
 $\mathrm{dlog} f_0 = \mathrm{d}\left(-2\frac{\eta}{\zeta}\right) + \mathrm{dlog} f_1, \quad \exp \oint \mathrm{dlog} f_0 = 1 \quad \forall \gamma \in H_1(\mathbb{Z}, \mathcal{C})$
- ▶ $\gamma_\infty(P) = \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{dlog} f_0(P) + i\pi \sum_{j=1}^g m_j \omega_j(P), \quad \oint_{\mathfrak{a}_k} \omega_j = \delta_{jk}$
 $\oint_{\mathfrak{b}_k} \gamma_\infty = i\pi n_k + i\pi \sum_{l=1}^g m_l \tau_{lk}, \quad 2\mathbf{U} \in \Lambda$

- ▶ **Ercolani-Sinha Constraints:** The following are equivalent:
 1. \mathcal{L}^2 is trivial on \mathcal{C} .
 2. $2\mathbf{U} \in \Lambda \iff \mathbf{U} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left(\oint_{\mathfrak{b}_1} \gamma_\infty, \dots, \oint_{\mathfrak{b}_g} \gamma_\infty \right)^T = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{n} + \frac{1}{2}\tau\mathbf{m}$.
 3. \exists 1-cycle $\mathfrak{es} = \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathfrak{a} + \mathbf{m} \cdot \mathfrak{b}$ s.t. for every holomorphic differential

$$\Omega = \frac{\beta_0 \eta^{n-2} + \beta_1(\zeta) \eta^{n-3} + \dots + \beta_{n-2}(\zeta)}{\frac{\partial \mathcal{P}}{\partial \eta}} d\zeta, \quad \oint_{\mathfrak{es}} \Omega = -2\beta_0$$

The Ercolani-Sinha Constraints

- ▶ \mathcal{L}^2 trivial $\implies f_0(\eta, \zeta) = \exp\left\{-2\frac{\eta}{\zeta}\right\} f_1(\eta, \zeta)$
 $\text{dlog } f_0 = \text{d}\left(-2\frac{\eta}{\zeta}\right) + \text{dlog } f_1, \quad \exp \oint \text{dlog } f_0 = 1 \quad \forall \gamma \in H_1(\mathbb{Z}, \mathcal{C})$
- ▶ $\gamma_\infty(P) = \frac{1}{2} \text{dlog } f_0(P) + i\pi \sum_{j=1}^g m_j \omega_j(P), \quad \oint_{\mathfrak{a}_k} \omega_j = \delta_{jk}$
 $\oint_{\mathfrak{b}_k} \gamma_\infty = i\pi n_k + i\pi \sum_{l=1}^g m_l \tau_{lk}, \quad 2\mathbf{U} \in \Lambda$
- ▶ **Ercolani-Sinha Constraints:** The following are equivalent:
 1. \mathcal{L}^2 is trivial on \mathcal{C} .
 2. $2\mathbf{U} \in \Lambda \iff \mathbf{U} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left(\oint_{\mathfrak{b}_1} \gamma_\infty, \dots, \oint_{\mathfrak{b}_g} \gamma_\infty \right)^T = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{n} + \frac{1}{2}\tau\mathbf{m}$.
 3. \exists 1-cycle $\mathfrak{es} = \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathfrak{a} + \mathbf{m} \cdot \mathfrak{b}$ s.t. for every holomorphic differential
$$\Omega = \frac{\beta_0 \eta^{n-2} + \beta_1(\zeta) \eta^{n-3} + \dots + \beta_{n-2}(\zeta)}{\frac{\partial \mathcal{P}}{\partial \eta}} d\zeta, \quad \oint_{\mathfrak{es}} \Omega = -2\beta_0$$
- ▶ **H3** \mathcal{L}^s trivial $\iff s\mathbf{U} \in \Lambda, \quad 2\mathbf{U}$ is a primitive vector in Λ

Summary

- ▶ Lax Pair $[\frac{d}{ds} + M(\zeta), L(\zeta)] = 0$ leads to the study of a curve

$$\mathcal{C} : 0 = \det(\eta 1_n + L(\zeta)) := P(\eta, \zeta)$$

Summary

- ▶ Lax Pair $[\frac{d}{ds} + M(\zeta), L(\zeta)] = 0$ leads to the study of a curve

$$\mathcal{C} : 0 = \det(\eta 1_n + L(\zeta)) := P(\eta, \zeta)$$

- ▶ The flows (via M) are governed by meromorphic differentials γ_∞ on \mathcal{C} .

$$\mathbf{U} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\mathfrak{b}} \gamma_\infty$$

Summary

- ▶ Lax Pair $[\frac{d}{ds} + M(\zeta), L(\zeta)] = 0$ leads to the study of a curve

$$\mathcal{C} : 0 = \det(\eta 1_n + L(\zeta)) := P(\eta, \zeta)$$

- ▶ The flows (via M) are governed by meromorphic differentials γ_∞ on \mathcal{C} .

$$\mathbf{U} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\mathfrak{b}} \gamma_\infty$$

- ▶ The solution constructed via $\theta(s\mathbf{U} + \mathbf{C}|\tau)$

Summary

- ▶ Lax Pair $[\frac{d}{ds} + M(\zeta), L(\zeta)] = 0$ leads to the study of a curve

$$\mathcal{C} : 0 = \det(\eta \mathbf{1}_n + L(\zeta)) := P(\eta, \zeta)$$

- ▶ The flows (via M) are governed by meromorphic differentials γ_∞ on \mathcal{C} .

$$\mathbf{U} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\mathfrak{b}} \gamma_\infty$$

- ▶ The solution constructed via $\theta(s\mathbf{U} + \mathbf{C}|\tau)$
- ▶ Transcendental constraints.
 1. Flows and Theta Divisor. $s\mathbf{U} + \mathbf{C} \notin \Theta$
 2. \mathcal{L}^2 trivial $\iff 2\mathbf{U} \in \Lambda \iff \mathbf{U} = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{n} + \frac{1}{2}\tau\mathbf{m}$
ES conditions impose *g transcendental constraints* on curve

$$\sum_{j=2}^n (2j+1) - g = (n+3)(n-1) - (n-1)^2 = 4(n-1)$$

Harmonic maps

Spectral Curves

Hitchin: bijective correspondence between harmonic maps

$T^2 \rightarrow S^3$ and hyperelliptic curves \mathcal{C} : $\eta^2 = f(\lambda)$ such that

- ▶ $f(\lambda)$ is real with respect to the real structure $\lambda \mapsto \bar{\lambda}^{-1}$.
- ▶ $f(\lambda)$ has no real zeros (i.e. no zeros on the unit circle).
- ▶ $f(\lambda)$ has at most simple zeros at $\lambda = 0$ and $\lambda = \infty$.
- ▶ Θ and Ψ are meromorphic differentials on \mathcal{C} whose only singularities are double poles at $\pi^{-1}(0)$ and $\pi^{-1}(\infty)$ and which have no residues. Their principal parts are linearly independent over \mathbb{R} , and they satisfy

$$\sigma^*\Theta = -\Theta, \sigma^*\Psi = -\Psi, \rho^*\Theta = \bar{\Theta}, \rho^*\Psi = \bar{\Psi}$$

where σ is the hyperelliptic involution $(\lambda, \eta) \mapsto (\lambda, -\eta)$ and ρ is the real structure induced from $\lambda \mapsto \bar{\lambda}^{-1}$.

- ▶ *The periods of Θ and Ψ are all integers.*
- ▶ $E(0)$ is a line bundle of degree $g + 1$ on \mathcal{C} , quaternionic with respect to the real structure $\sigma\rho$.

σ -model

σ -model equations on $\mathbb{R} \times S^3$

$$S = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{4\pi} \int d\sigma d\tau \left[\sum_i \partial_a X_i \partial^a X_i - \partial_a X_0 \partial^a X_0 \right], \quad \sum_i X_i^2 = 1.$$

$$j = -g^{-1} dg \quad g := \begin{pmatrix} X_4 + iX_3 & X_2 + iX_1 \\ -X_2 + iX_1 & X_4 - iX_3 \end{pmatrix} \in SU(2)$$

$$S = -\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{4\pi} \int \left[\frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(j \wedge *j) + dX_4 \wedge *dX_4 \right]$$

$$dj - j \wedge j = 0, \quad d*j = 0,$$

Virasoro constraints (gauge $X_4 = \kappa\tau$) $\frac{1}{2} \text{tr} j_{\pm}^2 = -\kappa^2$

σ -model

σ -model equations on $\mathbb{R} \times S^3$

$$S = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{4\pi} \int d\sigma d\tau \left[\sum_i \partial_a X_i \partial^a X_i - \partial_a X_0 \partial^a X_0 \right], \quad \sum_i X_i^2 = 1.$$

$$j = -g^{-1} dg \quad g := \begin{pmatrix} X_4 + iX_3 & X_2 + iX_1 \\ -X_2 + iX_1 & X_4 - iX_3 \end{pmatrix} \in SU(2)$$

$$S = -\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{4\pi} \int \left[\frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(j \wedge *j) + dX_4 \wedge *dX_4 \right]$$

$$dj - j \wedge j = 0, \quad d * j = 0,$$

Virasoro constraints (gauge $X_4 = \kappa\tau$) $\frac{1}{2} \text{tr} j_{\pm}^2 = -\kappa^2$

$$J(x) = \frac{j - x * j}{1 - x^2}, \quad F_J := dJ - J \wedge J = 0$$

$$\Omega(x, \sigma, \tau) = P\overleftarrow{\exp} \int_{\gamma(\sigma, \tau)} J(x, \sigma, \tau), \quad [d - J, \Omega] = 0$$

σ -model

Monodromy

- ▶ $[d - J, \Omega] = 0, \quad \mathcal{C} : 0 = \det(y\mathbf{1}_2 - \Omega(x))$
 $T := T(x, \sigma, \tau) = \text{Tr } \Omega(x, \sigma, \tau)$

$$y^2 - Ty + 1 = 0$$

a hyperelliptic curve, branched over $T^2 = 4$.

- ▶ $u(x, \sigma, \tau)\Omega(x, \sigma, \tau)u(x, \sigma, \tau)^{-1} = \text{diag} \left(e^{ip(x)}, e^{-ip(x)} \right).$

σ -model

Monodromy

- $[d - J, \Omega] = 0, \quad \mathcal{C} : 0 = \det(y\mathbf{1}_2 - \Omega(x))$
 $T := T(x, \sigma, \tau) = \text{Tr } \Omega(x, \sigma, \tau)$

$$y^2 - Ty + 1 = 0$$

a hyperelliptic curve, branched over $T^2 = 4$.

- $u(x, \sigma, \tau)\Omega(x, \sigma, \tau)u(x, \sigma, \tau)^{-1} = \text{diag} \left(e^{ip(x)}, e^{-ip(x)} \right).$
- $g \in SU(2) \Rightarrow j^\dagger = -j \Rightarrow \Omega(x, \tau, \sigma) = \Omega(\bar{x}, \tau, \sigma)^{-1}$
- \mathcal{C} real structure \Rightarrow BP's in complex conjugate pairs or real.
- Flows $J(x)$ poles at $x = \pm 1$: $0 = \oint_{\mathfrak{a}_i} dp, \frac{1}{2\pi} \oint_{\mathfrak{b}_i} dp = n_i \in \Lambda$

$$dp(x^\pm) = \begin{cases} \mp d \left(\frac{\pi\kappa}{x-1} \right) + O((x-1)^0) & \text{as } x \rightarrow +1, \\ \mp d \left(\frac{\pi\kappa}{x+1} \right) + O((x+1)^0) & \text{as } x \rightarrow -1. \end{cases}$$

$$dp =_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2\pi q_R}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \frac{dx}{x^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{x^3}\right), \quad dp =_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{2\pi q_L}{\sqrt{\lambda}} dx + O(x)$$