

MINERAL NUTRIENTS CONTENT IN ZINC- AND CADMIUM-TREATED DURUM WHEAT PLANTS WITH SIMILAR GROWTH INHIBITION

*Koleva L.**

Agricultural University, 12, Mendeleev Str., Plovdiv 4000, Bulgaria

Received: 02 March 2010 Accepted: 26 March 2010

Summary. A comparative study on the effects of excess Zn and high Cd concentrations on selected macro- (N, P, K) and micronutrients (Cu, Mn, Fe) content in durum wheat plants was conducted in hydroponic experiments. Cd and Zn were added to the nutrient solution at concentrations of 50 and 600 μM , respectively, when plants were 8-day-old and maintained for the next 10 days. These concentrations were chosen in a preliminary study where these metals were found to produce a similar relative growth rate (RGR) inhibition of about 50%. The results revealed that the applied Zn and Cd concentrations slightly modulated the content of selected mineral nutrients with 2 exceptions: (1) Cd treatment decreased K content in roots and (2) Zn treatment diminished Mn content in roots, whereas Zn and Cd reduced Mn translocation to leaves. In conclusion, these results did not give evidence for specific effects of Cd and Zn treatment on the mineral nutrients content.

Key words: cadmium, durum wheat, macronutrients, micronutrients, zinc.

INTRODUCTION

High Cd concentrations and excess Zn often interfere with mineral nutrients uptake by ion competition as well as affecting membrane permeability (Siedleska, 1995). The induced changes in cell ion homeostasis generally provoke different physiological disorders resulting finally in plant growth inhibition. It has been suggested that Cd and Zn have a similar mode of toxic action (Breckle, 1991; Clemens, 2006), based on identical visual symptoms of their phytotoxicity,

such as chlorosis, necrotic spots, weaker root branching, etc. (Das et al., 1997; Vassilev et al., 2007). However, as Cd and Zn are completely different from a biological viewpoint, some specificities of their negative impact on plant performance might be expected. Unfortunately, the comparative studies conducted with both heavy metals have not provided information clear enough (Hegedus et al., 2005). This is mostly due to high variation in the experimental designs used, metal

*Corresponding author: l_koleva2001@yahoo.com

concentration ranges applied, species sensitivity, etc. Therefore, comparative studies with well defined choice of external Cd and Zn concentrations should be performed. One possible approach is to compare the effects of external Cd and Zn concentrations producing a similar final inhibition of relative growth rate (RGR) of the treated plants. In the present study, the results of such an approach on the content of selected mineral nutrients in wheat plants are reported. The information obtained did not provide evidence for any specific nutritional changes in Zn- and Cd-treated plants.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Seeds of *Triticum durum* Desf. (cv. Beloslava) were germinated on wet filter paper for 3 days and then transferred to plastic pots with a modified ½ strength Hoagland nutrient solution. The solution was renewed every other day and aerated regularly. Seedlings were cultivated at controlled conditions: 26/22°C day/night temperature, 16/8 h photoperiod and 250 $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ light intensity for 18 days. An experimental design was set up including: (1) untreated plants (control), (2) Cd- and (3) Zn-treated plants. Both heavy metals were added to the nutrient solution in a

sulfate form at concentrations of 50 μM Cd and 600 μM Zn. After the treatment plants were grown for another 10 days and then harvested. Plants were separated to leaves and roots, dried and processed for determination of Cd, Zn, Cu, Fe and Mn content by atomic absorption spectrophotometry. For total N, P (P_2O_5) and K (K_2O) content samples were wet mineralized and then determined as follows: N by Kjeldahl method, P – spectrophotometrically and K – by flame photometry. Statistical analysis of the data obtained was performed using one-way ANOVA (for $P < 0.05$). Based on ANOVA results Tukey's test for the main comparison at a 95% confidential level was applied.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Cd and Zn accumulation in roots were several-fold higher than in leaves, suggesting that the root were the first target of plant defence to excess heavy metals (Table 1). Some of the total root metal content was probably presented by loosely adsorbed Cd and Zn ions, as shown previously (Koleva et al., 2008). Nevertheless, the total root accumulation of both metals was high enough to induce a visible decrease of root biomass

Table 1. Heavy metal accumulation [mg/kg DW] in both roots and leaves of Cd- and Zn-treated young durum wheat plants.

Heavy metal	Organ	Treatments		
		Control	50 μM Cd	600 μM Zn
Cd	Roots	9.2±0.64	936.0±84.24	10.8±0.76
	Leaves	1.1±0.04	150.0±10.50	1.9±0.09
Zn	Roots	64.0±6.40	125.0±12.50	3029.0±604.30
	Leaves	128.0±16.02	60.0±6.00	880.0±162.00

and weaker root branching. However, significant Cd and Zn amounts were translocated to the leaves (150 and 880 mg/kg, respectively) causing there the appearance of both chlorotic and necrotic spots. Cd and Zn accumulation was in a range cited to inflict numerous toxic effects in plants (Marschner, 1995; Vassilev and Yordanov, 1997).

The results presented in Table 2 showed that applied Cd and Zn concentrations slightly modulated the amount of the determined macro- and micronutrients with several exceptions. In the roots, Cd treatment reduced the content of K, while Zn treatment lowered the content of Mn. In the leaves, both metals strongly diminished Mn content. A

Table 2. Concentrations of selected mineral nutrients in both roots and leaves of Cd- and Zn-treated durum wheat plants.

Treatments	N [%]	P [%]	K [%]	Cu [mg/kg]	Mn [mg/kg]	Fe [mg/kg]
Roots						
Control	4.94±0.59 ^a	2.90±0.29 ^a	8.26±0.99 ^a	83.00±17.40 ^a	48.90±9.78 ^a	256.00±23.00 ^a
50 µM Cd	4.41±0.44 ^a	2.21±0.28 ^a	5.46±0.54 ^b	72.00±16.50 ^a	39.90±8.37 ^a	227.00±24.00 ^a
600 µM Zn	5.09±0.50 ^a	3.44±0.38 ^a	7.19±0.79 ^a	79.00±16.50 ^a	23.00±4.37 ^b	278.00±30.00 ^a
Leaves						
Control	5.30±0.58 ^a	2.28±0.27 ^a	9.86±0.98 ^a	29.3±6.15 ^a	74.6±15.60 ^a	79.6±16.70 ^a
50 µM Cd	4.82±0.58 ^a	2.31±0.30 ^a	8.52±0.85 ^a	21.7±4.70 ^a	7.1±1.42 ^b	81.1±17.80 ^a
600 µM Zn	4.68±0.47 ^a	2.47±0.27 ^a	8.83±0.97 ^a	22.0±4.40 ^a	6.6±1.32 ^b	59.0±11.80 ^a

Within the same column values followed by the same letter (a, b) are not significantly different for $P < 0.05$.

decrease of K content in roots as a results of Cd treatment has been previously observed (Vassilev et al., 2002). This result was explained by ion leakage from the damaged root membranes (Burzynski, 1987). This was supported by the fact, that K content in leaves was not affected. While the decrease of Mn content in roots of Zn-treated plants could be partially explained by ion competitions, its strongly reduced amount in the leaves of plants treated with both metals was due obviously to inhibited root-to-shoot translocation. The lower Mn concentration in the leaves of both Cd- and Zn-treated plants was in accordance with the results obtained by

Jalil et al. (1994) with durum wheat plants and Vassilev et al. (2002) with barley plants.

In conclusion, Zn and Cd applied in concentrations producing 50% RGR inhibition of young durum wheat plants slightly modulated the content of selected mineral nutrients with 2 exceptions: (1) Cd treatment decreased K content in roots and (2) Zn treatment diminished Mn content in roots, whereas Zn and Cd reduced Mn translocation to leaves. The results obtained did not give evidence for specific effects of Cd and Zn treatment on the mineral nutrients content determined.

REFERENCES

- Breckle SW, 1991. Growth under stress: heavy metals. In: Plant Roots: The Hidden Half, Eds. Y Waisel, A Eshel, X Kafkafi, Marcel Dekker, New York, 351–373.
- Burzynski M, 1987. The influence of lead and cadmium on the absorption and distribution of potassium, calcium, magnesium and iron in cucumber seedlings. *Acta Physiol Plant*, 9: 229–238.
- Clemens S, 2006. Toxic metal accumulation, responses to exposure and mechanisms of tolerance in plants. *Biochimie*, 88: 1707–1719.
- Das P, S Samantaray, G Rout, 1997. Studies on cadmium toxicity in plants: a review. *Environ Poll*, 98: 29–36.
- Jalil A, F Selles, JM Clarke, 1994. Effect of cadmium on growth and the uptake of cadmium and other elements by durum wheat. *J Plant Nut*, 17: 1839–1858.
- Hegedus A, BD Harrach, G Bardos, S Erdei, 2005. What is the crucial difference between the metabolic consequences of cadmium and zinc treatment of the plants? *Acta Biol Szeg* 49: 55–60.
- Koleva L, D Staneva, I Yordanova, Ts Bineva, A Vassilev, 2008. Characterization of cadmium uptake by roots of durum wheat plants. *J Cent Europ Agric*, 9: 533–538.
- Marschner H, 1995. Mineral Nutrition of Higher Plants, Academic Press. Second Edition.
- Siedleska A, 1995. Some aspects of interactions between heavy metals and plant mineral nutrients. *Acta Soc Bot Pol*, 64: 265–272.
- Vassilev A, A Perez-Sanz, A Cuypers, J Vangronsveld, 2007. Tolerance of two hydroponically grown *Salix* genotypes to excess Zn. *J Plant Nutr* 30: 1472–1482.
- Vassilev A, I Yordanov, 1997. Reductive analysis of factors limiting growth of Cd-treated plants: a review. *Bulg J Plant Physiol*, 23: 114–133.
- Vassilev A, FC Lidon, M do Céu Matos, JC Ramalho, I Yordanov, 2002. Photosynthetic Performance and Some Nutrients Content in Cd and Cu-treated Barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) plants. *J Plant Nut*, 25: 2343–2360.